United States Environmental Protection Agency Criminal Investigation Division Investigative Activity Report

1000-0484

Case Title: Reporting Office:

Shell Puget Sound Refinery

Seattle, WA, Area Office

 Subject of Report:
 Activity Date:

 2018-04-05 Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
 April 5, 2018

Reporting Official and Date:

Approving Official and Date:

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Agent

Deputy Division Director

07-MAY-2018, Signed by: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

07-MAY-2018, Approved by: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Assistant Special Agent in Charge

SYNOPSIS

DETAILS

On April 5, 2018, I interviewed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) , regarding interactions with the Shell Puget Sound Refinery release on February 20, 2015. After being notified of the identity of the interviewing agent and the nature of the interview, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) in substance, provided the following information:

On the afternoon of February 20, 2015, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) when (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) started to become sick. (a) (6), (b) (7)(C) when (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) when (c) (6), (b) (7)(C) when (c) (6), (c) (7)(C) when (c) (

This is the first time of remembers something being released from the refineries in this amount of concentration. Everyone near residence (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was negatively affected by the release. It took longer to settle into the (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), but affected people on the as well.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) passing away lately and would like to see some financial support from Shell Refinery for the (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) . (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

^{(b) (b) (c)} also believes that the release has affected the mushroom population and blackberry populations in the area as there have been very little growth in each since the incident.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the EPA.

It is the property of the EPA and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

OCEFT Form 3-01 (01/10) Page 1 of 1